App. F 12-A

1926

File No. 110-26

Previous References (Mis /)

Serial Nos. 2

Later References

Pb permanent

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Dec 26

SUBJECT

Resolution re the abolition of Education Cess on Devadaya & Dharmadaya Lands to be moved in the Leg. Council by Mr M Ramachandra Rao

Recorded

15.1.27

23 86

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Office of the Secretary, Legislative Council,

Bangalore, dated the 24th November 1928.

No. 2773-S/L&S

2229-28

Official Memorandum.

Nos 6, 19, 20 21, 25, 32, 36, 37 & 38 The accompanying resolutions proposed to be moved at the ensuing meeting of the Legislative Council, previously admitted, are forwarded with compliments to the Secretary to Government, Legislative Depts. for needful action.

By Order,

Secretary
Legislative Council, in charge

Left Hand Sheaf

2

Revenue Department

Resolution No. [illegible]

Ref 110-26-2

This Council recommends to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja that Land Revenue and Education Cesses levied on Devadaya and Dharmadaya lands be abolished throughout the State by executive orders or necessary legislation."

Cover -- If L. Commissioner has.

Sub Local Cess is levied on such lands as the Land Revenue Cesses are taxes levied for the benefit of the residents of a particular area & there does not seem to be any reason to exempt such lands from these taxes.

It may perhaps be designed from a drainy point of view that the cesses referred to are waived this leads to local cesses also being waived as the Regln says that the cesses shall be recovered as all the items of revenue which local cess is levied. Either the local cess has to be waived or the Reg Cess amended (Dhal). Perhaps may be considered (I do so.)

LF 11/26

WD 01226—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App. F (III—2)

General and Revenue Secretariat

W.D File No 329 WD of 1926

Serial No.

Chief Local Auditor
Delhi Circle

29.11

29.11.26

Before writing on the case I shall be obliged if the A.G. may kindly state whether he goes with the statements in the 1st para with the cases do not go to the Accountant-the Accounts is not concerned but I see no reason to withhold the principle of freedom from Cesses in regard to Cesses even though the funds are employed for charitable purposes

29/11/26

As a general rule the local enactments are not based on any principle whenever funds are required for carrying on the administration of a country or for defence the taxes are levied

In the present case Railway & Education cesses are levied on Devadaya or Dharmadaya lands

Under Rt Bd Regulation (Sec 68), Railway Cess Act a levy should be utilised solely in the Construction of Tramways & Railways or for guaranteeing the payment of interest

WD 61252—GPB—37,500—6-26
App. F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 110 of 1926

Serial No.

It is hoped for facilitating the opening up of the Country

Education cess to be levied should be utilised solely for purposes of education Cess be off

It cannot be denied that the cesses are obliged for purposes of benefitting the payers either directly or indirectly

In the case of an addl to abolish Devadays or Bhandaraga rights are made, the cost as a further person may justifiably claim the benefit contemplated from the opening up the Railway or from the spread of education among the masses

In the view it may perhaps be contended that there is no justification for the levy of these cesses on Devadays lands

Chief Sec'y
with Compts

Sd
3/12
27

If the above view is correct the resolution has to be accepted There is however no certainty that exemption can be claimed if the cess does not directly or indirectly benefit the payer In such cases a Tribunal may decide to benefit directly or indirectly from the cess or not. In all the cases it be left to it all the same if the resolution should be effected

I think

Sd Illegible
Illegible
Illegible
Secy

5683
3/12

By
3/12/26

NOTE—Contin. Sheet

WD 61926—GPB—37,500—1-9-26
App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. WD 2-29/H of 1926

Serial No.

The L L Secy has held Sanctn an inconsistent view with the above view of his in and the Revenue file in wh he held that an order cd be made a Khaidar, altho Govt was powerless for that Khaidars are liable to arrest & How one

I think better View of L L Secy is correct

The principle adopted appears to be to exempt no one from Courts; & if Exemptions are once granted there will be no end to them The Orders are granted with the proviso that they are liable to arrest.

The Order has to be passed Clear Sundays to Sundays

4/12/26

Dewan

Dewan's Office. Received on 6. DEC. 1926 No. 4952

15 A

Note Cont. Sheet.